


# Hough transform




Yoni Chechik

[www.AlisMath.com](http://www.AlisMath.com)



# Problem 3: fitting multiple lines

- (This class is a follow-up of the last curve fitting class).
- What if the initial data has multiple lines needed to detect. What can we do?



# TOC

- Hough transform
  - $(m, b)$  parameter space
  - $(\rho, \theta)$  parameter space

# **$(m, b)$ Parameter space**

- Line function:  $y = mx + b$ 
  - Usually we are given  $(m, b)$  constants, and the variables are  $(x, y)$ .
- In a regression problem we are given  $(x, y)$ , and the unknowns we wish to find are the best fit for  $(m, b)$ .
  - **Let's look at  $(m, b)$  as our variables.**

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters




Image space

Let's look at  $(m, b)$  as our variables

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $b$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $x$ )





Image space

a line becomes a point



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables

parameters




Image space

a point  
becomes a  
?

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $b$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $x$ )





Image space

a point  
becomes a  
?



Parameter space



# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )





Image space

a point  
becomes a  
?



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $mx$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $b$ )





Image space

a point  
becomes a  
?



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $b$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $x$ )





Image space

a point becomes a line



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $mx$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $b$ )





Image space

two points  
become  
?



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $b$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $x$ )





Image space

two points  
become  
**Two lines**



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $b$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $x$ )





Image space

three points  
become  
?



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables

parameters

$$y - mx = b$$

variables

parameters





Image space

three points  
become  
Three lines



Parameter space

# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$y - mx = b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $b$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $x$ )





Image space

four points  
become  
?



Parameter space



# $(m, b)$ Parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

variables

$$y - mx = b$$

parameters





Image space

four points  
become  
Four lines



Parameter space

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

1. Quantize the output parameter space to user defined bins- we will call this table the **accumulator table**.





Image space



Parameter space

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

2. For each point in image space- find corresponding line in parameter space and increment +1 the intersecting bins.




Image space



Parameter space

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

2. For each point in image space- find corresponding line in parameter space and increment +1 the intersecting bins.





Image space



Parameter space

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

2. For each point in image space- find corresponding line in parameter space and increment +1 the intersecting bins.





Image space



Parameter space

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

2. For each point in image space- find corresponding line in parameter space and increment +1 the intersecting bins.





Image space



Parameter space

# Parameter space- what for?

- How can we find lines in dataset using the parameter space?

3. Threshold the accumulator table result by some TH and get the corresponding line parameters.





Image space



Parameter space



# Hough transform

**Build** accumulator table.

**For** each point in image space:

**find** corresponding line in parameter space and  
    increment +1 the intersecting bins.

**Threshold** the accumulator table result by some TH and get  
the corresponding line parameters.

# Hough transform- pros & cons

- Pros:
  - Can detect multiple lines in image space.
  - can be extended to detect different parameterized curves (e.g.: circles, ellipsoids), and even un-parameterized curves (**generalized hough transform** [out of scope]- similar to template matching that will be covered later in course).
- Cons:
  - For the shown  $(m, b)$  parameter space, can't detect vertical lines. **Why?**
  - Susceptive to noise. **Why?**
  - Computationally costly.

# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines:**

$$y = mx + b$$

# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines:**

$$y = mx + b$$
$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines:**

$$y = mx + b$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$


# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines**:

$$y = mx + b$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$



# Problem 1: vertical line detection


- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines**:

$$y = mx + b$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$

$$\frac{-b}{m} = -\frac{1}{m} = \operatorname{tg}\theta$$



# Problem 1: vertical line detection


- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines**:

$$y = mx + b$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$

$$\frac{-b}{m} = -\frac{1}{m} = \operatorname{tg}\theta \rightarrow m = -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tg}\theta} = -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}$$





# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines**:


$$y = mx + b$$

$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$

$$\frac{-b}{m} = -\frac{1}{m} = \operatorname{tg}\theta \rightarrow m = -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tg}\theta} = -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}$$

$$\frac{\rho}{b} = \sin\theta \rightarrow b = \frac{\rho}{\sin\theta}$$



# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines**:

$$y = mx + b$$


$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$

$$\frac{-b}{m} = -\frac{1}{m} = \operatorname{tg}\theta \rightarrow m = -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tg}\theta} = -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}$$

$$\frac{\rho}{b} = \sin\theta \rightarrow b = \frac{\rho}{\sin\theta}$$

$$y = mx + b \rightarrow y = -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}x + \frac{\rho}{\sin\theta}$$



# Problem 1: vertical line detection

- Vertical (or near vertical) lines have a big slope:  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This causes the accumulator table to be very big in  $m$  direction.
- A solution is to give a **different parameterization to lines**:

$$y = mx + b$$


$$\xrightarrow{x=0} y = b$$

$$\xrightarrow{y=0} x = -\frac{b}{m}$$

$$\frac{-b}{m} = -\frac{1}{m} = \operatorname{tg}\theta \rightarrow m = -\frac{1}{\operatorname{tg}\theta} = -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}$$

$$\frac{\rho}{b} = \sin\theta \rightarrow b = \frac{\rho}{\sin\theta}$$

$$y = mx + b \rightarrow y = -\frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta}x + \frac{\rho}{\sin\theta} \rightarrow \boxed{x\cos\theta + y\sin\theta = \rho}$$



# TOC

- Linear least squares
- Total least squares
- Least squares
- RANSAC
- Hough transform
  - $(m, b)$  parameter space
  - $(\rho, \theta)$  **parameter space**

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

$$y = mx + b$$

variables (pointing to  $y$  and  $x$ )  
parameters (pointing to  $m$  and  $b$ )

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$

parameters (pointing to  $\cos \theta$  and  $\sin \theta$ )  
variables (pointing to  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $\rho$ )





Image space

a point  
becomes a  
wave



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$





Image space

a line  
becomes a  
point



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$





Image space

a line  
becomes a  
point



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$





Image space

a line  
becomes a  
point



Parameter space



# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$





Image space

a line  
becomes a  
point



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$





Image space

a line  
becomes a  
point



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters

$$x \cos \theta + y \sin \theta = \rho$$





Image space

a line  
becomes a  
point



Parameter space

# Notes on $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

- We only care about  $0 \leq \theta < \pi$ , otherwise it's symmetric.
- As we saw earlier, for some  $\theta \rightarrow \text{sign}(\rho) = -1$ . this is acceptable since the derivation earlier was right only for the first quadrant.

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters





Image space

a point  
becomes a  
wave



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters





Image space



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters





Image space



Parameter space

# $(\rho, \theta)$ parameter space

variables

$$y = mx + b$$

parameters





Image space



Parameter space



# Hough transform algorithm stays the same!

**Build** accumulator table.

**For** each point in image space:

**find** corresponding line in parameter space and  
    increment +1 the intersecting bins.

**Threshold** the accumulator table result by some TH and get  
the corresponding line parameters.

# Hough transform- pros & cons

- Pros:
  - Can detect multiple lines in image space.
  - can be extended to detect different parameterized curves (e.g.: circles, ellipsoids), and even un-parameterized curves (**generalized hough transform** [out of scope]- similar to template matching that will be covered later in course).
- Cons:
  - ~~For the shown  $(m, b)$  parameter space, can't detect vertical lines. Why?~~
  - Susceptive to noise. Why?
  - Computationally costly.

# Hough transform noise

- In case that the discovered edge is noisy, the binning process in the accumulation matrix can be problematic.
- This is a known problem of Hough transform... some ways to get better results is to try:
  - Different bin size (different step size for  $R$  &  $\theta$ ).
  - Smooth the accumulation matrix before thresholding.